

1, 2, 3

FDM

1 « » , 3, 49008, ; e-mail: info@yuzhnoye.com
 2
 3 « » , 2/10, 61046, ; e-mail: admi@ipmach.kharkov.ua
 « » , 37, 03056,

FDM

FDM

45°

45°

3D FORTUS 900 MC Stratasys.
 : ULTEM 9085 PLA.

PLA, ULTEM 9085. PLA, ULTEM 9085.

: FDM

3D

1. *Matthews N.* Additive metal technologies for aerospace sustainment. Aircraft Sustainment and Repair. R. Jones, A. A. Baker, Neil Matthews, V. K. Champagne (Eds.). Elsevier, 2018. P. 845–862. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-100540-8.00015-7>
2. *Boparai K. S., Singh R.* Advances in Fused Deposition Modeling. Reference Collection in Materials Science and Materials Engineering. Elsevier, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-803581-8.04166-7>
3. *Byberg K. I., Gebisa A. W., Lemu H. G.* Mechanical properties of ULTEM 9085 material processed by fused deposition modeling. Polymer Testing. 2018. Vol. 72. P. 335–347. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymertesting.2018.10.040>
4. *Ahn S.-H., Montero M., Odell D., Roundy S., Wright P. K.* Anisotropic material properties of fused deposition modeling ABS. Rapid Prototyping journal. 2002. Vol. 8, No. 4. P. 248–257. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13552540210441166>
5. *Domingo-Espin M., Puigoriol-Forcada J. M., Garcia-Granada A.-A., Llum J., Borros S., Reyes G.* Mechanical property characterization and simulation of fused deposition modeling Polycarbonate parts. Materials & Design. 2015. Vol. 83. P. 670–677. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2015.06.074>
6. *Bellini A., Guceri S.* Mechanical characterization of parts fabricated using fused deposition modelling. Rapid Prototyping Journal. 2003. Vol. 9, No. 4. P. 252–264. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13552540310489631>
7. *Casavola C., Cazzato A., Moramarco V., Pappalettere C.* Orthotropic mechanical properties of fused deposition modelling parts described by classical laminate theory. Materials and Design. 2016. Vol. 90. P. 453–458. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2015.11.009>
8. *Chen Y., Li T., Jia Z., Scarpa F., Yao C.-W., Wang L.* 3D printed hierarchical honeycombs with shape integrity under large compressive deformations. Materials and Design. 2018. Vol. 137. P. 226–234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2017.10.028>
9. *Parsons E. M.* Lightweight cellular metal composites with zero and tunable thermal expansion enabled by ultrasonic additive manufacturing: Modeling, manufacturing, and testing. Composite Structures. 2019. Vol. 223. Article 110656. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2019.02.031>
10. *Gerisa A. W., Lemu H. G.* Influence of 3D printing process parameters on tensile properties of ULTEM 9085. Procedia Manufacturing. 2019. Vol. 30. P. 331–338. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2019.02.047>

11. *Motaparti K. P., Taylor G., Leu M. C., Chandrashekhara K., Castle J., Matlack M.* Effects of build parameters on compression properties for ULTEM 9085 parts by fused deposition modeling. *Solid Freeform Fabrication. Proceedings of the 26th Annual International Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium – An Additive Manufacturing Conference.* 2016. P. 964–977.
12. *Popescu D., Zapciu A., Amza C., Baciuc F., Marinescu R.* FDM process parameters influence over the mechanical properties of polymer specimens: A review. *Polymer Testing.* 2018. Vol. 69. P. 157–166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymertesting.2018.05.020>
13. *Zaldivar R. J., Witkin D. B., McLouth T., Patel D. N., Schmitt K., Nokes J. P.* Influence of Processing and Orientation Print Effects on the Mechanical and Thermal Behavior of 3D-Printed ULTEM® 9085 Material. *Additive manufacturing.* 2017. Vol. 13. P. 71–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2016.11.007>
14. *Chamis C. C., Sinclair J. H.* Ten-deg off – axis test for shear properties in fiber composites. *Experimental Mechanics.* 1977. No. 17. P. 339–346. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02326320>
15. ASTM D 3039. Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Polymer Matrix Composite. ASTM International. 2017. 13 p.
16. *Pagano N. J., Halpin J. C.* Influence of end constraint in the testing of anisotropic bodies. *Journal of Composite Materials.* 1968. Vol. 2, No. 1. P. 18–31. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002199836800200102>
17. *Pierron F., Vautrin A.* The 10° off-axis tensile test: a critical approach. *Composites Science and Technology.* 1996. Vol. 56. P. 483–488. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0266-3538\(96\)00004-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0266-3538(96)00004-8)
18. *Pindera M. J., Herakovich C. T.* Shear characterization of unidirectional composites with the off-axis test. *Experimental mechanics.* 1986. Vol. 26. P. 103–112. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02319962>
19. ASTM D3518. Standard Test Method for In-Plane Shear Response of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials by Tensile Test of a $\pm 45^\circ$ Laminate. ASTM International. 2018. 7 p.
20. ASTM D638-14. Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Plastics. ASTM International. 2014. 17 p.
21. ASTM D695-15. Standard Test Method for Compressive Properties of Rigid Plastics. ASTM International. 2015. 8 p.
22. ASTM D790-17. Flexural properties of unreinforced and reinforced plastics and electrical insulating materials. ASTM International. 2017. 38 p.
23. *Carlsson L. A., Kardomateas G. A.* Characterization of the Mechanical Properties of Face Sheet and Core Materials. Book: *Structural and Failure Mechanics of Sandwich Composites, Solid Mechanics and its Applications.* Springer, 2011. P. 19–37, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-3225-7_2
24. *Avramov K.* Bifurcations of parametric oscillations of beams with three equilibria. *Acta Mechanica,* 2003, Vol. 164. P. 115–138. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00707-003-0022-9>

16.03.2021,
07.04.2021