

The purpose of this paper is to synthesize disturbance compensators in the form of an extended state-vector observer, taking into account the chosen optimality criterion and restrictions to provide given quality indices for transient processes. New procedures for synthesis of disturbance compensators, which are suboptimal according to minimum-rate criteria H_2 or H_{∞} , are proposed considering restrictions on locations of poles of the transfer function of a closed system for the required quality indices of transient processes of the observer. This approach allows a necessary accuracy of the disturbance estimation with noise of sensors. The problem for finding a suboptimal observer is solved using the methodology of optimization for H_2 and H_{∞} and a technique of linear matrix inequalities. Investigations of the synthesized compensators in time and frequency domains are carried out to illustrate special features and the efficiency of the procedures proposed. The results of the paper can be used in practice for solving control problems under conditions of uncertainty and significant sensor noise, using the two-loop approach. According to this approach an outer loop (controller) realizes the stabilization criterion and an internal loop (compensator) provides a criterion for compensation or reduction of the effect of disturbances.



. – 2014. – 2.

, -. .

--

[1-3]. , ,

--, -

[1]

.

, - , -, , , -

. [6] -,

. [7]

_

-

. -,

[3, 8],

$$\ddot{\mathbf{v}} = f(t, \mathbf{v}, \dot{\mathbf{v}}, \mathbf{w}_{i}) + b\mathbf{u} \; .$$

•

_

f_

> -_

:

$$\ddot{y} = f(t, y, \dot{y}, w_v) + bu$$
, (1)
 $y - ; u - () ; f -$

 $W_v; b$ – , $b \approx b_0$.

•

:

,

•

,

$$\hat{f} \approx f$$

$$u = \left(u_0 - \hat{f}\right) / b_0.$$
(1)
(2)

$$\ddot{y} = u_0$$
. (3)
(3) -

$$U_{0} = k_{1}(y_{p} - \hat{y}) - k_{2}\hat{y}, \qquad (4)$$

 $k_1, k_2 -$

,

,
$$y_p$$
 –
; \hat{y} , $\hat{\dot{y}}$ –
(4) (2), y_p – y_p , \dot{y} .

$$u = \left(k_{1}(y_{p} - \hat{y}) - k_{2}\hat{y} - \hat{f}\right)/b_{0}.$$
 (5)

,

$$x_1 = y, x_2 = \dot{y}, x_3 = f$$
.

81

-

(1)

,

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2, \ \dot{x}_2 = x_3 + b_0 u, \ x_3 = \dot{f}, \ y = x_1.$$
 (6)

:

f = const,

-

_ -

-

-

_

[7]:

$$\dot{\hat{x}}_1 = \hat{x}_2 - I_1(\hat{x}_1 - x_1), \ \dot{\hat{x}}_2 = \hat{x}_3 - I_2(\hat{x}_1 - x_1) + u/b_0, \ \dot{\hat{x}}_3 = -I_3(\hat{x}_1 - x_1), \ (7)$$

 $\hat{x}_1, \ \hat{x}_2, \ \hat{x}_3 - x_1, \ x_2, \ x_3; \ I_1, \ I_2, \ I_3 -$

$$I_1 = 3\omega_0, \ I_2 = 3\omega_0^2, \ I_3 = \omega_0^3,$$
 (8)

•

 $\omega_{\rm 0}$ –

,

:

•

$$H(j\omega)$$
. - H_2 H_∞ , -

$$H_2 \quad H_{\infty},$$

•

[9]:

$$\|H\|_{2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{trace} \left[H^{T}(-j\omega)H(j\omega)\right] d\omega , \qquad (9)$$

$$\|H\|_{\infty} = \sup_{\omega} \sigma_{\max} [H(j\omega)], \qquad (10)$$

$$\sigma_{\max}$$
 - - $H(j\omega)$. H_2

$$H_{\infty}$$
 . -

, . ,

82

(1)

,

$$\dot{X} = AX + Bu , \qquad (11)$$

_

$$\dot{\hat{X}} = A\hat{X} + Bu + L\left(Y - C\hat{X}\right), \tag{12}$$

•

$$Y = CX + DW . (13)$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ b_0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L = \begin{bmatrix} I_1 \\ I_2 \\ I_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$D = \begin{bmatrix} d & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{bmatrix} W_i \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad W_i - \qquad ; d - \qquad$$

(11) (12),
$$X_e = X - \hat{X}$$
.

$$\dot{X}_{e} = AX_{e} + LCX_{e} + LDW .$$
(14)
(14)

$$\dot{X}_{e} = AX_{e} + B_{e}L_{L}, B_{e} = I, L_{L} = LY_{e},$$
 (15)

$$Y_e = CX_e + DW , \qquad (16)$$

•

«

1 –

•

(15), (16),

$$G(s) - L(s) \gg ($$
 . 1).

83

-



$$\dot{X}_{e} = AX_{e} + B_{1}W + B_{2}L_{L},$$

 $Z = C_{1}X_{e} + D_{11}W + D_{12}L_{L},$

$$\begin{split} Y_e &= C_2 X + D_{21} W + D_{22} L_L \,, \\ B_1 &= 0 \,, \quad B_2 = B_e \,, \quad C_1 = I \,, \quad D_{11} = 0 \,, \quad D_{12} = 0 \,, \quad C_2 = C \,, \quad D_{21} = D \,, \\ D_{22} &= 0 \,. \\ L(s) \end{split}$$

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{X}}_{L} = \boldsymbol{A}_{L}\boldsymbol{X}_{L} + \boldsymbol{B}_{L}\boldsymbol{Y}_{e}, \qquad (17)$$

$$L_L = C_L X_L + D_L Y_e, \qquad (18)$$

X_L - .

 $\boldsymbol{A}_{L}\,,\,\boldsymbol{B}_{L}\,,\,\boldsymbol{C}_{L}\,,\,\boldsymbol{D}_{L}\,,$

:

«
$$G(s) - L(s)$$
» -

$$\dot{X}_{CL} = A_{CL}X_{CL} + B_{CL}W,$$
$$Z = C_{CL}X_{CL} + D_{CL}W,$$

H₂. :

$$\left\| \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{Z}\boldsymbol{W}} \right\|_2 \to \min.$$
 (20)

,

$$\left|\mathbf{G}_{ZW}\right|_{2} < v_{\min}, \qquad (21)$$

 ν_{min} –

[10]

$$V \qquad \begin{array}{c} (21) \\ Q = C_{CL} V^{-1} C_{CL}^{T}, \\ \vdots \end{array}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{CL}^{T} V + V A_{CL} & V B_{CL} \\ B_{CL} V^{T} & -I \end{bmatrix} < 0, \begin{bmatrix} V & C_{CL}^{T} \\ C_{CL} & Q \end{bmatrix} > 0, \qquad (22)$$

$$\operatorname{trace}(\mathbf{Q}) < v_{\min}.$$
 (23)

-

_

-

_ -

_

(22).

,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{A}}_{L} = \boldsymbol{T}\boldsymbol{A}_{L}\boldsymbol{O}^{T} + \boldsymbol{T}\boldsymbol{B}_{L}\boldsymbol{C}_{2}\boldsymbol{V} + \boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{B}_{2}\boldsymbol{C}_{L}\boldsymbol{O}^{T} + \boldsymbol{R}\big(\boldsymbol{A} + \boldsymbol{B}_{2}\boldsymbol{D}_{k}\boldsymbol{C}_{2}\big)\boldsymbol{V}, \qquad (24)$$

:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{L} = \boldsymbol{T}\boldsymbol{B}_{L} + \boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{B}_{2}\boldsymbol{D}_{L}, \qquad (25)$$

:

,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{C}}_{L} = \boldsymbol{C}_{L}\boldsymbol{O}^{\mathsf{T}} + \boldsymbol{D}_{L}\boldsymbol{C}_{2}\boldsymbol{V}.$$
⁽²⁶⁾

 $OT^{T} = I - VR$.

о т

$$O = n_1 \overline{n}_d$$
, $T = \overline{n}_d n_2^T$, $\overline{n}_d = diag(\sqrt{n_d})$,

S_d -

:

[I - VR],

, *n*_d (svd)

,

$$\operatorname{svd}(I-VR)=n_1n_dn_2^T$$
,

85

-

$$(24) - (26)$$
 (22)

():

$$\begin{bmatrix} sym(AV + B_2\hat{C}_L) & \hat{A}_L + A + B_2D_LC_2 & B_1 + B_2D_LD_{21} \\ (*)^T & sym(RA + \hat{B}_LC_2) & RB_1 + \hat{B}_LD_{21} \\ (*)^T & (*)^T & -I \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad (27)$$

,

.

$$\begin{bmatrix} V & I & (C_1 V + D_2 \hat{C}_L)^T \\ (*)^T & R & (C_1 + D_2 D_L C_2)^T \\ (*)^T & (*)^T & Q \end{bmatrix} > 0,$$
(28)

sym
: sym
$$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^{T}$$
; $(*)^{T}$

, (23), (27), (28),
$$\hat{A}_{L}$$
,
 \hat{B}_{L} , \hat{C}_{L} , D_{L} , V , R $V = V_{\min}$.

:

-

-

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{L} = \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{C}}_{L} - \boldsymbol{D}_{L} \boldsymbol{C}_{2} \boldsymbol{V} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{O}^{T} \right)^{-1}, \qquad (29)$$

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{L} = \boldsymbol{T}^{-1} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{L} - \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{B}_{2} \boldsymbol{D}_{L} \right), \tag{30}$$

$$A_{L} = T^{-1} \Big(\hat{A}_{L} - TB_{L}C_{2}V - RB_{2}C_{L}O^{T} - R(A + B_{2}D_{k}C_{2})V \Big) (O^{T})^{-1}.$$
 (31)
H.

$$\left\|\mathbf{G}_{ZW}\right\|_{\infty} \to \min.$$
(32)

(17), (18), -

(32), :

.

 $\left\|\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{Z}\boldsymbol{W}}\right\|_{\infty} < \gamma_{\min}\,,\tag{33}$

 $\gamma_{min} \ -$

[10] (33) , V,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{A}_{CL}^{T} \boldsymbol{V} + \boldsymbol{V} \boldsymbol{A}_{CL} & \boldsymbol{V} \boldsymbol{B}_{CL} & \boldsymbol{C}_{CL}^{T} \\ (*)^{T} & -\gamma \boldsymbol{I} & \boldsymbol{D}_{CL}^{T} \\ (*)^{T} & (*)^{T} & -\gamma \boldsymbol{I} \end{bmatrix} < 0, \qquad (34)$$

 $\gamma < \gamma_{min}$.

$$(24) - (26)$$

•

$$\begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{syn}(AV + B_2 \hat{C}_L) & \hat{A}_L^T + A + B_2 D_L C_2 & B_1 + B_2 D_L D_{21} & V \hat{C}_1^T + \hat{C}_L^T D_{21}^T \\ (*)^T & \operatorname{sym}(RA + \hat{B}_L C_2) & RB_1 + \hat{B}_L D_{21} & C_1^T + C_2^T D_L^T + D_{12}^T \\ (*)^T & (*)^T & -\gamma I & D_{11}^T + D_{21}^T D_L D_{12}^T \\ (*)^T & (*)^T & (*)^T & -\gamma I \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$
(35)

,

,

,

,
$$\gamma = \gamma_{\min}$$
, -
 $\hat{A}_L, \hat{B}_L, \hat{C}_L, D_L, V, R$, -
(29) - (31) , -
(33).









 $\Lambda = \left\{ \boldsymbol{z} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta} : \boldsymbol{f}_{\Lambda}(\boldsymbol{z}) < \boldsymbol{0} \right\},\$



.

,

 \otimes

,

$$h_{1} < \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{i}) < h_{2}$$

$$f_{\Lambda}(z) = \begin{vmatrix} 2h_{1} - (z + \overline{z}) & 0 \\ 0 & (z + \overline{z}) - 2h_{2} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$2\theta$$

$$f_{\Lambda}(z) = \begin{vmatrix} \sin \theta(z + \overline{z}) & -\cos \theta(z - \overline{z}) \\ \cos \theta(z - \overline{z}) & \sin \theta(z - \overline{z}) \end{vmatrix}.$$
(36)

-

-

_

-

,

,

[10],
$$A_{CL}$$
 -
 Λ , V , :
 $N \otimes V + M \otimes (A_{CL}V) + M^T \otimes (A_{CL}V)^T < 0$,
- , (24) - (26), .
:

$$N \otimes \Psi + M \otimes \Phi + M^{T} \otimes \Phi^{T} < 0.$$

$$\Psi \quad \Phi \qquad :$$
(37)

$$\Psi = \begin{bmatrix} V & I \\ I & R \end{bmatrix}, \ \Phi = \begin{bmatrix} AV + B_2 \hat{C}_L & A + B_2 D_L C_2 \\ \hat{A}_L & RA + \hat{B}_L C_2 \end{bmatrix},$$
, (17, 18)

(37). (37)
, (23), (27), (28),
$$H_2$$
, (35) H_{∞}











 H_{∞}

 H_{∞} .

. 4, 5

(

 $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_i) < -2$, . 6, 7 $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_i) < -10$.

(. 5, 7).

 $H_{\infty} \\ \boldsymbol{G}_{\hat{f}f}(\boldsymbol{s}),$ H_2 $\sigma (G_{\hat{f}\!f})$, дб -20 $\sigma(G_{ZW})$, дб 50 0 -40 2/ -50 -60 1 -100 -80 3 -150 -100 -200 -120 10^{-2} -250 10⁻² 10^{0} 10^{0} 10^{2} 10^{2} *w*, рад/с *w*, рад/с . 4 . 5 $\sigma(G_{\hat{f}f})$, дб $_0$ $\sigma(G_{ZW})$, дб 2/ 0 -20 -50 1 -40 -100 -150 -60 -200 -80 10^{-2} -250 10^{-2} 10^{0} 10^2 10^{0} 10^2 *w*, рад/с *w*, рад/с . 6 . 7 $H_2 = H_\infty$, . 8 9. : $f = f_0 \sin(0,1t)$, $f_0 = 0.01$ · ; $w_i = w_{i0} \sin(100t)$, $w_{i0} = 0.0017$. **f** , 1 2 -3 , H_2 (32).

,



•

.

 $k_1 = \omega_r^2$, $k_2 = 2\omega_r$, $\omega_r = 0,1$ /.



•

$$H_2 \quad H_\infty$$

.

91

_

- 3. *Chen Z.* Active disturbance rejection control of chemical processes / *Z. Chen, Q. Zheng, Z. Cao* // 16th IEEE International conference on control application. 2007. P. 855 861.

. 399 - 406.

- Schrijver E. Disturbance observers for rigid mechanical systems: equivalence, stability, and design / E. Schrijver, J. Dijk // ASME Journal of dynamics systems, measurement, and control. – 2000. – Vol. 124.– P. 3 – 11.
- 5. White M. T. Improved track following in magnetic disc drives using a disturbance observer / M. T. White, M. Tomizuka, C. Smith // IEEE/ASME Trans. On Mechatronics. 2002. Vol. 5, No.1. P. 539 548.
- Yang X. Capabilities of extended state observer for estimating uncertainties / X. Yang, Y. Huang // Proceeding of the American Control Conference. – 2009. – P. 3700 – 3705.
- Gao Z. Active disturbance rejection control: a paradigm shift in feedback control system design / Z. Gao // Proceeding of the American Control Conference. – 2006. – P. 2399 – 2405.
- Alexander B. X. S. A novel application of extended state observer for high performance control of NASA's HSS flywheel and fault detection / B. X. S. Alexander, R. Rarick, L. Dong // Proceeding of the American Control Conference. – 2008. – P. 5216 – 5221.
- 9. Zhou K. Robust and optimal Control / K. Zhou, J. C. Doyle, K. Glover. NJ : Prentice-Hall, 1996. 596 p.
- 10. Chilali M. Robust pole placement in LMI regions / M. Chilali, P. Gahinet, P. Apkarian // IEEE Trans. on automatic control. 1999. Vol. 44. P. 2257 2270.
- 11. Chilali M. H design with pole placement constraints: An LMI approach / M. Chilali, P. Gahinet // IEEE Trans. on automatic control. 1996. Vol. 41. P. 358 367.
- Nesterov Y. The Projective method for solving linear matrix inequalities / Y. Nesterov, A. Nemirovskii // Math. Programming Series B. – 1997. – Vol. 77. – P. 163 – 190.

25.03.14, 03.06.14